

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for conducting a telephone interview with Applicant's representative, Pat Reed, on November 16, 2007. Applicant appreciates the Examiner for her time and consideration.

During the interview, the claimed subject matter of the application and the Arbel, Pershan, Rogers and O'Neil references were discussed. In particular, Applicant presented arguments along the lines of those set forth below in the section entitled "Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103."

Also discussed during the interview were proposed amendments to the claims. In the interest of expediting prosecution of the application, and without conceding the propriety of the rejection, Applicant proposes to amend the independent claims to further clarify features of Applicant's claimed subject matter. Specifically, Applicant proposed amendments, such as "the telephone system uses **T\_No\_Answer** and **T\_Busy triggers** on a call to the subscriber telephone number, wherein the telephone system uses a **Transaction Capabilities Application Part (TCAP) protocol** to respond to **triggers**, and wherein the telephone system uses a **flow through provisioning** system to pass data." Applicant understands that the Examiner tentatively agrees that the proposed amendments overcome the outstanding rejections, subject to possible further search.

Accordingly, all pending claims are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.